



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/529,021	09/15/95	HOFFMANN	E 4100-77

THOMAS C PONTANI
COHEN PONTANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE
551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1210
NEW YORK NY 10176

F3M1/0327

EXAMINER	
FISHER, J	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3307	10

DATE MAILED:

03/27/97

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

Advisory Action

Application No.

08/529,021

Applicant(s)

Eduard Hoffmann et al

Examiner

J. R. Fisher

Group Art Unit

3307

**THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]**

- a) ☒ expires THREE months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

- ☐ Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on _____ (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).

Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on Mar 10, 1997 has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

☒ The proposed amendment(s):

- ☐ will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
- ☒ will not be entered because:
- ☒ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
 - ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
 - ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
 - ☐ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: Please see attachment.

- ☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):

- ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
- ☐ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

- ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):

Claims allowed: _____

Claims objected to: _____

Claims rejected: as set forth in the final rejection.

- ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.
- ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____
- ☐ Other


J. R. FISHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3307

Art Unit 3307

ATTACHMENT TO PTOL-303

The proposed claim amendments create new issues which would require further consideration. Whether or not the reasons for rejection of record would apply to the claims in the same manner as to those claims previously considered cannot be decided without a reexamination on merits. Also, the claims would require reexamination on merits to ascertain whether there are any new reasons for rejection which are not of record. This would extend the prosecution substantially beyond that contemplated for this stage of the prosecution. See MPEP 714.12, last paragraph.

The final rejection is maintained.


J. REED FISHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3307

703 308-0525
March 26, 1997